Structure and Properties of a Re-engineered Homeodomain Protein–DNA Interface
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The redesign of one member of a highly conserved and large protein family has been useful for the generation of tools to dissect individual protein function in complex biological systems. Protein kinases, for example, contain highly conserved ATP binding pockets and can be studied by introducing a large-to-small mutation at a conserved position in the active site, thereby creating an engineered kinase that is uniquely inhabitable using an enlarged inhibitor that is too bulky to fit into the active site of wild-type kinases (1–3). Similarly, an aspartate-to-asparagine mutation in the active site of a GTPase can switch the nucleotide specificity from GTP to another nucleotide, XTP, allowing the activity of an engineered GTPase to be tracked in the presence of other GTPases (4–7). In these cases, the high sequence conservation of the engineered protein’s family allowed extension of an engineering solution from one protein to other members within the family. Although these examples illustrate the utility of engineering protein–small molecule interactions, many proteins exert their regulatory roles in the cell through protein–protein or protein–DNA interactions. Therefore we wondered whether highly conserved, extended interfaces could be re-engineered to incorporate new functionality.

Several extended interfaces have been engineered, including the interacting surfaces between human growth hormone and its receptor (8), zinc fingers and diverse DNA sequences (9–11), and the protein heterodimerization interface of an endonuclease (12). Perhaps the most dramatic example of an adaptive protein surface is the variable region of an antibody, which can recognize chemically diverse hapten, including those derived from the synthetic elaboration of biomolecules (13). Although these examples highlight the adaptability of protein interfaces, they also demonstrate that protein engineers have focused on interfaces that have a high degree of natural functional variability, and generally the amino acids at these interfaces are poorly conserved. In contrast, to take advantage of the frequent occurrence of highly conserved domains and their interacting surfaces, we are interested in how much adaptability and corresponding potential for re-engineering exists within highly conserved biomolecular interfaces. To address this question, we chose to focus on the homeodomain (HD)–DNA interaction.

The HD is a DNA-binding domain that has been conserved over 500 million years of evolution in both structure and function (14). The 60 amino acid HD is composed of three α helices (Figure 1, panel a), the C-terminal of which is referred to as the recognition helix as a result of base-specific contacts it makes in the major groove of DNA with the sequence TAATXX (Figure 1, panels a and b, in green) (15–18). These conserved contacts include a hydrophobic interaction of either isoleucine or valine at
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position 47 with the C5 methyl group of thymidine 4, two invariant hydrogen bonds from Asn51 to adenosine 3, and contacts between either a glutamine or a lysine at position 50 that satisfy the last two base pairs in the recognition sequence (TAATTA or TAATCC, respectively) (19). Although these residues are nearly invariant in naturally occurring HDs, we recently reported the re-engineering of this interface using a phage display selection with a synthetic DNA oligomer bearing an unnatural nucleotide (20). This selected homeodomain (HD$_d$) binds with specificity for DNA bearing an oxazolidinone appendage projecting into the major groove of the DNA on an allyl linker.

Here we report the design and synthesis of other novel nucleosides and the mutations recovered from phage display selections against DNA strands bearing these nucleotides. We also report extensive characterization of one mutant, HD$_{47}$, including biochemical dissection of the roles for each mutation in binding studies, and we analyze the stability of these mutants using CD spectroscopy. Finally, we report the high-resolution crystal structure of HD$_d$, bound to modified and unmodified DNA. Together, these results provide insight into the engineering of highly conserved interfaces.

**Design and Synthesis of Derivatized Nucleosides.** Our work re-engineering HD–DNA interactions has focused on the well-characterized Q50K mutant of the engrailed homeodomain (HD). The Q50K variant is naturally occurring in some HDs (e.g., Bicoid) and alters the binding specificity from the palindromic consensus sequence of the wild-type HD, TAATTA, to the nonpalindromic sequence TAATCC, therefore simplifying biochemical analysis. To engineer HD–DNA interactions, we have focused on regions of the HD–DNA interface (21) where the HD makes highly conserved contacts to the DNA (Figure 1, panel a). The hydrophobic contact between Ile47 and the C5 methyl group of thymidine 4 in the B-form DNA or clash with the backbone of the helix-turn-helix motif, which is fundamental to the HD fold (20). The use of allyl or propargyl linkers appended to the C5 of thymidine bases allows the incorporation of diverse chemical functionality and the impact of these modifications has been systematically explored elsewhere (23). In these cases, the extended π-system can contribute to the base–base stacking within the DNA helix, thereby stabilizing the desired B-form DNA structure.

Because of the limited space between the major groove of the DNA and the protein backbone of the recognition helix, we chose to focus on flat five- and six-membered heterocycles connected to the base through a propargyl linker at C5 of thymidine (1–5). These alkynyl heterocycles were synthesized as shown in Supplementary Scheme 1. To install these appendages onto the nucleoside base, Sonogashira conditions were used to couple these terminal alkynes to 5′-dimethoxytrityl (DMT)-protected
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2′-deoxy-5-iodouridine (see Supplementary Scheme 2) as we have reported previously (20). Installing the DMT-protecting group prior to the Sonogashira coupling proved advantageous both because this route allowed the use of a common starting material and also because this protection scheme allowed the reaction to be performed in THF. Using THF as solvent accelerated the reaction dramatically relative to the rate in DMF and simplified the workup of the desired nucleosides. These modified nucleosides were then incorporated into DNA oligomers (Figure 1, panel c) using solid-phase DNA synthesis as previously described (20).

**Phage-Display Selections.** Models and biochemical data (20) have demonstrated that a steric clash between Ile47 and alkynyl substituents prevents HD, from binding to the modified DNA. Therefore, we have sought mutant HDs that bind specifically to the modified DNA strands, presumably including a large-to-small mutation at Ile47. To select for such mutants, we employed a phage selection with a HD displayed on the major coat protein (P8) of M13 phage. The phage display system has been used previously to select for mutants of the engrailed HD that bind to unmodified (24, 25) and oxazolidinone 1 modified DNA (20). The selections using unmodified DNA have validated this approach; the amino acids enriched in the selections largely recapitulated those found in naturally occurring HDs.

To generate the library of mutant HDs, we used a variant of Kunkel mutagenesis to introduce mutations focused around Ile47 (Figure 1, panel b, boxed residues), and to increase the proportion of functional HDs, the library was biased toward approximately four mutations per clone using split-and-pool DNA synthesis to construct the degenerate oligonucleotide used for mutagenesis (20). With this approach, we were able to obtain high coverage of the library using only modest numbers of unique transfomers (1.8 × 10⁷ A-pocket colonies).

Using this library and previously established selection conditions, we enriched for DNA-binding phage within 3–4 rounds of selection. To identify mutations enriched by these selections, we sequenced several clones from each enriched pool (Figure 1, panel d). The sequenced clones demonstrated common mutations derived from independent library members, and for TAA1CC a single clone dominated the selection.

As we expected, the sequences largely contained Lys50, consistent with the known role for this residue specifying the last two base pairs of the DNA binding site used in the selection (i.e., TAA[XCC]). Although it was encouraging that most of the clones contained a small residue at position 47, this bias was programmed into the original library (50% alanine; 50% glycine). Nonetheless, the bias toward Gly47 (see Figure 1, panel d) was expected on the basis of previous data demonstrating that I47A has low binding affinity and specificity. From the selected clones, we found a preponderance of mutations at two other positions: Ile45 and Lys52. These mutations are on the back side of the recognition helix from Ile47.

Closer examination of the sequences from the selected clones demonstrates that these clones are clustered into two groups: those with consensus mutations (Lys50, Gly47, I45V/T/L, and K52M) and clones that do not have these mutations but are also missing residues identified as particularly important for HD function (e.g., Trp48 and Asn51), suggesting that this second population is composed of residual clones that do not bind the desired DNA.

Having identified consensus mutations in selection against various modified DNA strands, we chose to focus on one mutant in particular, HDₐ (I45V, I47G, Q50K, and K52M), because it completely dominated the pool enriched using oxazolidinone 1 and furthermore was the only clone to give a strongly positive result in a phage enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (20). Replacement of Ile45 by valine is not entirely surprising given that isoleucine and valine are equally likely to occur in natural HDs at position 45 and this substitution is quite conservative. The replacement of Lys52 with methionine, however, is quite surprising; out of 129 human HDs, 103 have arginine at position 52, 10 have lysine, 7 have alanine, but none have methionine (26).

**Biochemical Analysis of a Selected Mutant.** When given the choice in a competition electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA), HDₐ binds 5-fold more tightly to TAA1CC than unmodified DNA, whereas HDₐ binds specifically to unmodified DNA (Supplementary Table 1. Affinity for DNA and thermal stability of engrafted HD mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>Kₐ (nM)</th>
<th>Tₘ (°C)</th>
<th>ΔH (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAATCC₂₀</td>
<td>TA1CC₂₀</td>
<td>TAAGTC₂₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDₐ</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>17.8 ± 3.5</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDᵢ</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD, I47G</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>47.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD, I47G, I45V</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>59.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD, I47G, K52M</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>43.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* HD binding to 5′-CGCAGTGTAAXXXCCTCGAC and its complement was measured by EMSA.
Figure 2. Structure of HD$_\alpha$ bound to TAATCC and TAA1CC. a) Ribbon overlay of HD–DNA complexes (HD$_\alpha$–TAATCC (15) in blue, HD$_\alpha$–TAA1CC in red, and HD$_\alpha$–TAATCC in yellow). b) Electron density in the vicinity of the alkynyl nucleoside. 2F$_{o}$ − F$_{c}$ electron density from a simulated-annealing composite omit map is contoured at 1σ. c) HD$_\alpha$–TAATCC. The hydrophobic contact between Ile47 and the C5 methyl of thymidine in the recognition sequence TAATCC is indicated by green dashes. d) HD$_\alpha$–TAATCC. Three waters shown in red occupy the cavity created by the I47G mutation in HD$_\alpha$. For clarity, only these three waters are displayed. e) HD$_\alpha$–TAA1CC. The oxazolidinone substituent packs against the cavity created by I47G and displaces the three waters seen in panel d.

Figure 1, panel a). The preference of HD$_\alpha$ for TAA1CC$_{20}$ was seen previously using HD$_\alpha$ fused to maltose binding protein (20). Un-tagged HD$_\alpha$ also prefers binding to TAA1CC$_{20}$ over TAA2CC$_{20}$, another oxazolidinone-bearing strand (Supplementary Figure 1, panel b).

Next we investigated the role of the two mutations in the hydrophobic core of the HD by mutating these residues back to the wild-type sequence, either individually (HD, I47G, I45V and HD, I47G, K52M) or together (HD, I47G). In EMSAs using either modified or unmodified DNA strands, we were surprised to find that HD, I47G functioned with specificity and affinity comparable to that of HD$_\alpha$ (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 2). Although the conditions presented also suggest that the selectivity of HDs lacking the hydrophobic mutations is somewhat depressed, the importance of this result is mitigated by modest variability of the selectivities observed under different conditions. Nonetheless, under all conditions tested, HD$_\alpha$ is the tightest and most selective HD, demonstrating that HD$_\alpha$, binds with the desired specificity for TAA1CC$_{20}$.

Structural Analysis of the Selected Mutant in Complex with DNA. To understand the structural basis for this re-engineered HD–DNA interaction, we solved X-ray crystal structures of HD$_\alpha$ in complex with both modified and unmodified DNA to 2.2 and 1.9 Å, respectively (Supplementary Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 1). Analysis of the structures reveals that, despite the perturbation of the mutations in the HD and the modification to the DNA, HD$_\alpha$ still adopts a canonical HD structure as judged by the nearly identical ribbon representations of Cα positions for the HD$_\alpha$–TAATCC, HD$_\alpha$–TAATCC, and HD$_\alpha$–TAA1CC structures (Figure 2, panel a). The oxazolidinone modification is clearly visible in the electron density (Figure 2, panel b) and projects into the cavity created by the I47G mutation (Figure 2, panel e). The average B factor of the oxazolidinone modification (34 Å$^2$) is similar to that of the base to which it is connected (33 Å$^2$) and also of side chains Lys50 (35 Å$^2$) and Asn51 (37 Å$^2$), suggesting that the oxazolidinone is well ordered within the context of the re-engineered interface. In the complex of HD$_\alpha$, with unmodified DNA, the cavity created by the I47G mutation (Figure 2, panels c and d) is occupied by three ordered water molecules (Figure 2, panel d). In both structures of HD$_\alpha$, with the exception of the waters immediately surrounding the modification and cavity, the waters at the protein–DNA interface are similar to those found in the unengineered HD$_\alpha$–TAATCC interface.

In the structure of HD, bound to TAATCC, residue Lys50 contacts the last two bases through two alternate conformations allowing it to bind specifically to both base pairs (15). However, in the electron density for HD$_\alpha$, bound to either TAA1CC (Supplementary Figure 4) or TAATCC, K50 only occupies one of these conformations, with no apparent structural basis for specifying the final base TAATCC. To test if HD$_\alpha$, has decreased specificity for the last C=G base pair (TAATCC) compared to the previous C=G base pair (TAATTC), HD$_\alpha$ and HD, binding to TAATTC and TAATGC were assayed by EMSA (Supplementary Figure 5, panels a and b). We found that HD$_\alpha$, retains specificity for the final base pair despite the single orientation of Lys50 observed in the crystal structure. Furthermore, we found that HD$_\alpha$, binding to its preferred DNA target is competed off approximately the same concentration of salmon sperm DNA as was found for HD, (Supplementary Figure 5, panel c).

We next turned our attention to the two hydrophobic mutations I45V and K52M found in HD$_\alpha$. Examination of the region of HD$_\alpha$ around Val45 revealed only minor changes relative to HD$_\alpha$ such as changes in electron density best explained by Ser35 adopting a second conformation. It is possible that this second conformation requires the slightly reduced steric volume of the I45V mutation, but the importance of this second conformation is unclear. Examination of the environment surrounding the K52M mutation reveals that this mutation could relieve electrostatic repulsion caused by three lysine residues (Lys17, Lys52, and Lys55) in close proximity to one another. The engineered HD is a member of a small subset of HDs that have basic amino acids at both position 17 and position 52. Most HDs possess a salt bridge between Glu17 and Arg52 in the HD consensus sequence (27). The high density of positive charge caused by the presence of Lys17, Lys52, and Lys55 is destabilizing and can be relieved by K52A and K52E mutations, which stabilize the engrafted HD as previously demonstrated (28). This result suggests that K52M may impact protein stability and led us to wonder more generally about the effects of the HD$_\alpha$, mutations on HD stability.

Analysis of the Stability of the Selected Mutant. To examine the stability of the HDs used in this study, we monitored their thermal denaturation by CD spectroscopy (Figure 3). Starting with HD$_\alpha$, introducing the I47G mutation is destabilizing to the protein ($\Delta T_m = -5.3$ °C). In general, the replacement of an amino acid with glycine is destabilizing because the unfolded state of the protein is entropically stabilized by extra
conformations available to glycine (29), especially in an α helix (30). As predicted from the relief of repulsive interactions, the K52M mutation is stabilizing, not only recovering the stability lost by I47G but further stabilizing HD6V. V45I to a net 6.8 °C above HD6. The dramatic stabilizing effect of the K52M mutation is consistent with the notion that selection favored HDs with stabilizing mutations, but more surprising, the other mutation, I45V, destabilizes the HD by 4–6 °C, depending on the sequence context, bringing the net thermal stability of HD6V close to that of HD, (Figure 3, panel b, and Table 1). The phage selected mutations retuned the stability of the HD, leading to similar stabilities of HD6V with HD7.

Despite the high conservation of HD–DNA contacts, particularly Gln/Lys50, Asn51, and Ile/Vala7, using phage display and nucleoside chemistry, we were able to re-engineer the HD–DNA interface, completely disrupting one of the conserved contacts (Ile47-T4), and replace it with an elaborated nucleoside that packs against the cavity created by an I47G mutation. Although these modifications represent a dramatic perturbation, the high-resolution structure of this interface revealed that the selected mutant functions without affecting the HD fold. Furthermore, we found that the selected mutations tuned the stability of the selected HD to be similar to that of the starting HD. Analysis of the HD6V–TA11CC interaction reported here demonstrates that even highly conserved interfaces, such as the HD–DNA interface, contain sufficient adaptability to allow the installation of novel function, in this case specific binding to modified DNA. This latent adaptability is of importance to protein engineers who wish to make tractable the enigma of the proteome by exploiting its conserved motifs and domains, including the HD.

METHODS

Synthesis. Detailed experimental procedures and characterization of the allynes and nucleosides can be found in Supporting Information.

Phage Library Construction and Selection. The phage library used for these selections and the conditions for the selections have been published elsewhere (20).

Expression and Purification of Mutant HDs. Mutant HDs were expressed as MBP fusions, and the MBP affinity tag was cleaved using Factor Xa. A detailed description of the expression, purification, and characterization can be found in Supporting Information.

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays. Binding of the HDs to DNA was determined essentially as described previously (20, 24), except by using HDs cleaved from the maltose binding protein tag (see above) and conditions described in Supporting Information.

CD. Thermal stability of engrafted HD mutants was measured by CD essentially as described previously (19, 22). Detailed conditions are described in Supporting Information. Melting temperature and enthalpy of denaturation were determined by fitting the derivative of the denaturation curves to the van’t Hoff difference equation (33). Fitting the difference data obviates the need to fit baselines to the data, thus reducing the number of free parameters available to the fit. Thermal denaturation curves were numerically differentiated, smoothed over a 3 °C window, and fit to the van’t Hoff difference equation using Levenberg–Marquardt least squares minimization (Figure 3, inset of panel a) using scripts written in Matlab (32).

Crytallization, Data Collection, and Structure Refinement. Crystals were grown in hanging drop essentially as described previously (21) except that a higher concentration of polyethylene glycol-400 was used in the well solution. The HD6V–TA11CC structure was solved by molecular replacement using HD bound to TA11CC (15) as the initial model. The HD6V–TA11CC structure was then used as the initial model for HD6 bound to TA11CC. Details of the crystallization, data collection, and structural refinement can be found in Supporting Information.

Supporting Information Available: This material is free of charge via the Internet.
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